arXiv:1409.6072v1 [math.AC] 22 Sep 2014

Stanley depth of powers of the path ideal

Alin Ştefan
Petroleum and Gas University of Ploieşti
Ploieşti, Romania
nastefan@upg-ploiesti.ro

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to give a formula for the Stanley depth of quotient of powers of the path ideal. As a consequence, we establish that the behaivior of the Stanley depth of quotient of powers of the path ideal is the same as a classical result of Brodmann on depth.

Keywords: Monomial Ideals, Stanley depth, Stanley decompositions
MSC 2010: Primary: 13C15, Secondary: 13P10, 13F20

1 Introduction

Let $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring in n variables over a field K and M be a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded S-module. Let $u \in M$ be homogeneous and $Z \subset X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. Then the $K[Z]$-submodule $uK[Z]$ of M is called a *Stanley space* of M if $uK[Z]$ is a free $K[Z]$-submodule of M and $|Z|$ is called the *dimension* of $uK[Z]$. A *Stanley decomposition* $\mathcal{D}$ of M is a decomposition of M as a direct sum of $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded K-vector space

$$\mathcal{D} : M = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{r} u_j K[Z_j],$$

where each $u_j K[Z_j]$ is a Stanley space of M.
The number

$$sdepth((D) = min\{|Z_i| : i = 1, \ldots, r\})$$

is called the *Stanley depth of decomposition* $\mathcal{D}$ and the number

$$sdepth(M) := max\{sdepth(\mathcal{D}) : \ \mathcal{D} \ is \ a \ Stanley \ decomposition \ of \ M\}$$

is called *Stanley depth* of M.
In 1982 Stanley conjectureted in [16] that $sdepth(M) \geq depth(M)$. Apel [1], [2] proved the conjecture for a monomial ideal I over S and for the quotient S/I in at most three variables. Anwar and Popescu [3] and Popescu[11] proved the conjecture for S/I and $n = 4, 5$; also for $n = 5$ Popescu proved the conjecture for square free monomial ideal. In [9] Herzog, Vlădoiu and Zheng introduced a method to compute the Stanley depth of a factor of a monomial ideal which was later developed into an effective algorithm by Rinaldo [15] implemented in *CoCoA* [7]. Also, the explicit computation of the Stanley depth turns out to be a dificult problem even for simpler monomial ideals or quotient of monomial ideals. For instance in [5] Biró et al. proved that $sdepth(m) = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ where $m = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is the graded maximal ideal of S and where $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ denote the smallest integer $\geq \frac{n}{2}$.

2 Stanley depth of path ideal

Let G be a graph on n vertices. The *edge ideal* $I = I(G)$ of the graph G is the ideal generated by all monomials of the form $x_i x_j$ such that $\{x_i, x_j\}$ is an edge of G.

Definition 1. A *path* P_n of lenght $n-1,\ n \geq 2$ is a set of n distinct vertices $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ and $n-1$ edges $x_i x_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.

For $I = I(P_n)$, Morey [10] proved that $depth(S/I) = \left\lceil \frac{n}{3} \right\rceil$ and for the powers of I is given a lower bound, $depth(S/I^t) \geq max\{\left\lceil \frac{n-t+1}{3} \right\rceil, 1\}$. The proof makes repeated use of applying the *Depth Lemma:*

Lemma 2. ([17], *Lemma* 1.3.9) *If*

$$0 \longrightarrow U \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0$$

is a short exact sequence of modules over a local ring R*, then*
a) If depth $M <$ *depth* N*, then depth* $U =$ *depth* M*.*
b) If depth $M >$ *depth* N*, then depth* $U =$ *depth* $N + 1$*.*

The most of the statments of the *Depth Lemma* are wrong if we replace *depth* by *sdepth.* Rauf [14] proved the analog of *Lemma* 2(*a*) for *sdepth:*

Lemma 3. *Let*

$$0 \longrightarrow U \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence of finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}^n$*-graded* S*-modules. Then*

$$sdepth\ M \geq min\{sdepth\ U, sdepth\ N\}.$$

Lemma 4. *If* $I = I(P_n)$*, then* $sdepth(S/I) = \left\lceil \frac{n}{3} \right\rceil$.

Proof. For $n \leq 5$ the result holds very easy. The proof is by induction on $n \geq 6$. Consider the short exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow S/(I : x_{n-1}) \stackrel{x_{n-1}}{\longrightarrow} S/I \longrightarrow S/(I, x_{n-1}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Firstly, note that $(I : x_{n-1}) = (J, x_{n-2}, x_n)$ and $(I, x_{n-1}) = (L, x_{n-2})$ where $J = I(P_{n-3}),\ L = I(P_{n-2})$. By induction on n and ([9], *Lemma* 3.6),

$$sdepth(S/(I : x_{n-1})) = sdepth(S'[x_{n-1}]/J) = sdepth(S'/J) + 1 = \left\lceil \frac{n-3}{3} \right\rceil + 1 = \left\lceil \frac{n}{3} \right\rceil$$

where $S' = K[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-3}]$ and

$$sdepth(S/(I, x_{n-1})) = sdepth(K[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-2}]/L) + 1 = \left\lceil \frac{n-2}{3} \right\rceil + 1.$$

So, by *Lemma* 3, $sdepth(S/I) \geq min\{sdepth(S/(I : x_{n-1})), sdepth(S/(I, x_{n-1}))\} = \left\lceil \frac{n}{3} \right\rceil$.

Now we'll prove the another inequality, $sdepth(S/I) \leq \left\lceil \frac{n}{3} \right\rceil$. We identify S/I with the $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded K-subvector space I^c of S which is generated by all monomials $u \in S \setminus I$.
The *characteristic poset* (see [9]) of S/I is

$$\mathcal{P} = \{a \in \mathbb{N}^n \ : \ x^a \in I^c \ and \ x^a | x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n\},$$

where $x^a = x_1^{a(1)} x_2^{a(2)} \cdots x_n^{a(n)}$ and $a = (a(1), \ldots, a(n)) \in \mathbb{N}^n$.
For $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ let

$$\mathcal{P}_d := \{a \in \mathcal{P} \ : |a| = d\} \ and \ \mathcal{P}_{d,\alpha} := \{a \in \mathcal{P}_d \ : x^\alpha | x^a\},$$

where for $a = (a(1), \ldots, a(n)) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $|a| := \sum_{i=1}^n a(i)$.
We define a natural partial order on $\mathbb{N}^n$ as follows: $a \geq b$ if and only if $a(i) \geq b(i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and we say that b *cover* a.
Assume $sdepth(S/I) \geq \left\lceil \frac{n}{3} \right\rceil + 1 \Leftrightarrow$ there exists a partition of $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r [F_i, G_i]$ such that $min_{i=1}^r |G_i| = \left\lceil \frac{n}{3} \right\rceil + 1$. We denote by $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ the canonical base of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and δ_{ij} the Kronecker'symbol.
We have three cases to study:

1. If $n = 3k \geq 6$, then $\mathcal{P}_{k+1,\ \alpha} = \{\alpha + e_{3k-2} + e_{3k}\}$, where $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} e_{3i-1}$. So, $A = \sum_{i=1}^{k} e_{3i-1} \in \mathcal{P}_k$ is not covered. A contradiction!

2. If $n = 3k+1 \geq 7$, then $\mathcal{P}_{k+2,\ \alpha} = \{\alpha + e_{3k-5} + e_{3k-3} + e_{3k-1} + e_{3k+1}\}$, where $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} e_{3i-1}$. So, $A = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} e_{3i-1} \in \mathcal{P}_{k-1}$ is not covered. A contradiction!

3. If $n = 3k + 2 \geq 8$, then $\mathcal{P}_{k+2,\ \alpha} = \{\alpha + e_{3k-2} + e_{3k} + e_{3k+2}\}$, where $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} e_{3i-1}$. So, $A = \sum_{i=1}^{k} e_{3i-1} \in \mathcal{P}_k$ is not covered. A contradiction!

Therefore, the required conclusion follows. □

Lemma 5. *Let $t \geq 1$, G be a graph, u, v vertices of G, $I = I(G)$ such that $sdepth(S/(I^t : uv)) \geq s$, $sdepth(S/(I^t, u)) \geq s$ and $sdepth(S/((I^t : u), v)) \geq s$ for some $s \geq 0$, then $sdepth(S/I^t) \geq s$.*

Proof. Applying Lemma 3 to the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow S/(I^t : uv) \xrightarrow{v} S/(I^t : u) \longrightarrow S/((I^t : u), v) \longrightarrow 0$$

yields $sdepth(S/(I^t, u)) \geq s$. Applying again Lemma 3 to the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow S/(I^t : u) \xrightarrow{u} S/I^t \longrightarrow S/(I^t, u) \longrightarrow 0$$

we have $sdepth(S/I^t) \geq s$. □

Theorem 6. *For $n \geq 2$ and $t \geq 1$ the power of the path ideal $I = I(P_n)$ has Stanley depth, $sdepth(S/I^t) = max\{\lceil \frac{n-t+1}{3} \rceil, 1\}$.*

Proof. Since P_n is a bipartite graph it follows $depth(R/I^t) \geq 1$, (*see* [10]) and so, $sdepth(R/I^t) \geq 1$, (*see* [6]) for all $t \geq 1$.
We'll show that $sdepth(S/I^t) \geq \lceil \frac{n-t+1}{3} \rceil$. The proof is by induction on $n \geq 2$ and $t \geq 1$. The result holds for $n \leq 3$ and for all $t \geq 1$; also by Lemma 4 the result holds for $n \geq 2$ and $t = 1$.
Assume $n \geq 2$ and $t \geq 2$. Using Lemma 5, it is enough to show that:

1. $sdepth(S/(I^t : x_{n-1}x_n)) \geq \lceil \frac{n-t+1}{3} \rceil$;

2. $sdepth(S/(I^t, x_{n-1})) \geq \lceil \frac{n-t+1}{3} \rceil$;

3. $sdepth(S/((I^t : x_{n-1}), x_n)) \geq \lceil \frac{n-t+1}{3} \rceil$.

1. By induction on t and since $(I^t : x_{n-1}x_n) = I^{t-1}$ we have

$$sdepth(S/(I^t : x_{n-1}x_n)) = sdepth(S/I^{t-1}) \geq \left\lceil \frac{n-(t-1)+1}{3} \right\rceil \geq \left\lceil \frac{n-t+1}{3} \right\rceil$$

2. Firstly, note that $(I^t, x_{n-1}) = (J^t, x_{n-1})$, where $J = I(P_{n-2})$.
By induction on n and ([9], *Lemma* 3.6) we have:

$$sdepth(S/(I^t, x_{n-1})) = sdepth(S'[x_{n-1}, x_n]/(J^t, x_{n-1})) = S'/J^t + 1 \geq \left\lceil \frac{n-2-t+1}{3} \right\rceil + 1 =$$

$$\left\lceil \frac{n-t+2}{3} \right\rceil \geq \left\lceil \frac{n-t+1}{3} \right\rceil,$$

where $S' = K[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-2}]$.

3. Using ([8], *Theorem* 3.5) it follows $((I^t : x_{n-1}), x_n) = ((L^t : x_{n-1}), x_n)$, where $L = I(P_{n-1})$. Notice that $sdepth(S/((I^t : x_{n-1}), x_n)) = sdepth(S^{''}/(L^t : x_{n-1}))$, where $S^{''} = K[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}]$. Consider the short exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow S^{''}/(L^t : x_{n-1}x_{n-2}) \xrightarrow{x_{n-2}} S^{''}/(L^t : x_{n-1}) \longrightarrow S^{''}/((L^t : x_{n-1}), x_{n-2}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

By induction on n and since $(L^t : x_{n-1}x_n) = L^{t-1}$ we have:

$$sdepth(S^{''}/(L^t : x_{n-1}x_{n-2})) = sdepth(S^{''}/L^{t-1}) \geq \left\lceil \frac{n-1-(t-1)+1}{3} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{n-t+1}{3} \right\rceil.$$

Also, we have $((L^t : x_{n-1}), x_{n-2}) = ((Q^t : x_{n-1}), x_{n-2}) = (Q^t, x_{n-2})$, where $Q = I(P_{n-3})$. Let $S^{'''} = K[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-3}]$. By induction on n and ([9], *Lemma* 3.6),

$$sdepth(S^{''}/(Q^t, x_{n-2})) = sdepth(S^{'''}[x_{n-1}]/Q^t) = sdepth(S^{'''}/Q^t) + 1 \geq$$

$$\left\lceil \frac{n-3-t+1}{3} \right\rceil + 1 = \left\lceil \frac{n-t+1}{3} \right\rceil.$$

Applying Lemma 3 to the sequence above, $sdepth(S^{''}/(L^t, x_{n-1})) \geq \left\lceil \frac{n-t+1}{3} \right\rceil$ and so, we obtain $sdepth(S/((I^t : x_{n-1}), x_n)) \geq \left\lceil \frac{n-t+1}{3} \right\rceil$.

Therefore, we obtain $sdepth(S/I^t) \geq max\{\left\lceil \frac{n-t+1}{3} \right\rceil, 1\}$ for any $t \geq 1$.

Now we'll prove the another inequality, $sdepth(S/I^t) \leq max\{\left\lceil \frac{n-t+1}{3} \right\rceil, 1\}$ for any $t \geq 1$. By Lemma 4 the result holds for $t = 1$. Let $t \geq 2$ fixed. We identify S/I^t with the $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded K-subvector space $(I^t)^c$ of S which is generated by all monomials $u \in S \setminus I^t$.
The *characteristic poset* (see [9]) of S/I^t is

$$\mathcal{P} = \{a \in \mathbb{N}^n \ : \ x^a \in (I^t)^c \ and \ x^a | (x_1x_2 \cdots x_n)^t\},$$

where $x^a = x_1^{a(1)}x_2^{a(2)} \cdots x_n^{a(n)}$ and $a = (a(1), \ldots, a(n)) \in \mathbb{N}^n$.

Let us first show why $sdepth(S/I^t) \leq 1$ for any $t \geq n-2$. Assume $sdepth(S/I^t) \geq 2$ for any $t \geq n-2$. According to Theorem 2.1.([9]) there exists a partition of $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r [F_i, G_i]$ such that $min_{i=1}^r \rho(G_i) = 2$, where $\rho(G_i) = |\{j : t = G_i(j)\}|$ is the cardinality of $\{j : t = G_i(j)\}$.
For $t \geq n-2$ fixed, let the sets $[(t, t-1, t, 0, \ldots)] := \{(t, \alpha_2, t, \alpha_4, \beta) \in \mathcal{P} \mid 0 \leq \alpha_2 + \alpha_4 \leq t-1, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{n-4}, \ |\beta| = (t-1)(\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil - 2) - \alpha_2 - \alpha_4\}$, $[(t-1, t-1, t, 0, \ldots)] := \{(t-1, \alpha_2, t, \alpha_4, \beta) \in \mathcal{P} \mid 0 \leq \alpha_2 + \alpha_4 \leq t-1, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{n-4}, |\beta| = (t-1)(\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil - 2) - \alpha_2 - \alpha_4\}$ and $[(t, t-1, t-1, 0, \ldots)] := \{(t, \alpha_2, t-1, \alpha_4, \beta) \in \mathcal{P} \mid 0 \leq \alpha_2 + \alpha_4 \leq t-1, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{n-4}, \ |\beta| = (t-1)(\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil - 2) - \alpha_2 - \alpha_4\}$. Since the elements of $[(t, t-1, t, 0, \ldots)]$ can only cover the elements of $[(t-1, t-1, t, 0, \ldots)] \cup [(t, t-1, t-1, 0, \ldots)]$ and there is an one to one corespondence between the sets $[(t, t-1, t, 0, \ldots)]$ and $[(t-1, t-1, t, 0, \ldots)]$ and for any $\gamma \in [(t, t-1, t, 0, \ldots)]$, $\delta \in [(t-1, t-1, t, 0, \ldots)]$ and $\eta \in [(t, t-1, t-1, 0, \ldots)]$ we have $|\gamma| - 1 = |\delta| = \eta$, $\rho(\gamma) = 2$, $\rho(\delta) = \rho(\eta) = 1$ then there exists elements from $[(t-1, t-1, t, 0, \ldots)] \cup [(t, t-1, t-1, 0, \ldots)]$ which can not be covered by elements of $[(t, t-1, t, 0, \ldots)]$. Therefore $sdepth(S/I^t) \leq 1$ for any $t \geq n-2$ and so $sdepth(S/I^t) = 1$ for any $t \geq n-2$.

Using the same technique as above we show why $sdepth(S/I^t) \leq \left\lceil \frac{n-t+1}{3} \right\rceil$ for any $2 \leq t \leq n-3$. Let $2 \leq t \leq n-3$ fixed and we'll denote by $a := \left\lceil \frac{n-t+1}{3} \right\rceil$. Assume $sdepth(S/I^t) \geq a+1$. According to Theorem 2.1.([9]) there exists a partition of $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r [F_i, G_i]$ such that $min_{i=1}^r \rho(G_i) = a+1$.
Let the sets $[(t, t-1\underbrace{t, 0, \ldots, t, 0}_{a-times}, \ldots)] := \{(t, \alpha_2, t, \alpha_4, \ldots, t, \alpha_{2a+2}, \beta) \in \mathcal{P} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{a+1} \alpha_{2i} \leq t-1, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{n-2a-2}, \ |\beta| = (t-1)(\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil - a) - \sum_{i=1}^{a+1} \alpha_{2i}\}$, $[(t-1, t-1\underbrace{t, 0, \ldots, t, 0}_{a-times}, \ldots)] := \{(t-1, \alpha_2, t, \alpha_4, \ldots, t, \alpha_{2a+2}, \beta) \in \mathcal{P} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{a+1} \alpha_{2i} \leq t-1, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{n-2a-2}, \ |\beta| = (t-1)(\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil - a) - \sum_{i=1}^{a+1} \alpha_{2i}\}$ and $[(t, t-1\underbrace{t, 0, \ldots, t, 0}_{a-1-times}, \ldots)] := \{(t, \alpha_2, t, \alpha_4, \ldots, t, \alpha_{2a}, \beta) \in \mathcal{P} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{a} \alpha_{2i} \leq t-1, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{n-2a}, \ |\beta| =$

$(t-1)(\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - a + 1) - \sum_{i=1}^{a} \alpha_{2i}\}$. Since the elements of $[(t, t-1\underbrace{t, 0, \ldots, t, 0}_{a-times}, \ldots)]$ can only cover the elements of $[(t-1, t-1\underbrace{t, 0, \ldots, t, 0}_{a-times}, \ldots)]$ or $[(t, t-1\underbrace{t, 0, \ldots, t, 0}_{a-1-times}, \ldots)]$ and there is an one to one corespondence between the sets $[(t, t-1\underbrace{t, 0, \ldots, t, 0}_{a-times}, \ldots)]$ and $[(t-1, t-1\underbrace{t, 0, \ldots, t, 0}_{a-times}, \ldots)]$ and for any $\gamma \in [(t, t-1\underbrace{t, 0, \ldots, t, 0}_{a-times}, \ldots)]$, $\delta \in [(t-1, t-1\underbrace{t, 0, \ldots, t, 0}_{a-times}, \ldots)]$ and $\eta \in [(t, t-1\underbrace{t, 0, \ldots, t, 0}_{a-1-times}, \ldots)]$ we have $|\gamma| - 1 = |\delta| = |\eta|$, $\rho(\gamma) = a+1$, $\rho(\delta) = a$, $\rho(\eta) = a$ then there exists elements from $[(t-1, t-1\underbrace{t, 0, \ldots, t, 0}_{a-times}, \ldots)] \cup [(t, t-1\underbrace{t, 0, \ldots, t, 0}_{a-1-times}, \ldots)]$ which can not be covered by elements of $[(t, t-1\underbrace{t, 0, \ldots, t, 0}_{a-times}, \ldots)]$. Therefore $sdepth(S/I^t) \leq \lceil \frac{n-t+1}{3} \rceil$ and so we have the equality $sdepth(S/I^t) = \lceil \frac{n-t+1}{3} \rceil$ for any $2 \leq t \leq n-3$.
Thus, we have $sdepth(S/I^t) = max\{\lceil \frac{n-t+1}{3} \rceil, 1\}$ for any $t \geq 1$. □

By a theorem of Brodmann ([4]), $depth(S/I^t)$ is constant for $t >> 0$. In ([10]) Morey proved that $depth(S/I) = \lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil$ and for the powers of I is given a lower bound, $depth(S/I^t) \geq max\{\lceil \frac{n-t+1}{3} \rceil, 1\}$. As a consequence of the previous theorem we obtain a similar result to Brodmann' theorem on the Stanley depth.

Corollary 7. *Stanley depth of factor of power of path ideal stabilizes, ie* $sdepth(S/(I(P_n))^t) = 1$ *for any* $t \geq n-2$.

References

[1] J. Apel, *On a conjecture of R.P. Stanley I. Monomial Ideals,* Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics, **17**(1) (2003), 36-56.

[2] J. Apel, *On a conjecture of R.P. Stanley II. Quotients modulo monomial ideals,* Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics, **17**(1) (2003), 57-74.

[3] I. Anwar, D. Popescu, *Stanley conjecture in small embedding dimension,* J. Algebra, **318**(2007), 1027-1031.

[4] M. Brodmann, *The asymptotic nature of the analitic spread*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.**86** (1979), 35-39.

[5] C. Biró, D.M. Howard, M.T. Keller, W.T. Trotter, S.J. Young, *Interval partitions and Stanley depth,* Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A, **117** (2010) 475-482.

[6] M. Cimpoeas, *Some remarks on the Stanley depth for multigraded modules,* Le Mathematiche, Vol. **LXIII** (2008) Fasc. II, 165-171.

[7] CoCoATeam, *CoCoA: a system for doing Computations in Commutative Algebra.* Avaible at http://cocoa.dima.unige.it

[8] H.T.Hà, S Morey, *Embedded associated primes of powers of square-free monomials ideals,* J. Pure Appl. Algebra **214** (2010), 301-308.

[9] J. Herzog, M. Vlădoiu, X. Zheng, *How to compute the Stanley depth of a monomial ideal,* J. Algebra, **322**(2009) 3151-3169.

[10] S. Morey, *Depths of powers of the edge ideal of a tree,* Comm. Algebra **38**(11) (2010) 4042-4055.

[11] D. Popescu, *Stanley depth of multigraded modules,* J. Algebra, **321** (2009) 2782-2797.

[12] D. Popescu *An inequality between depth and Stanley depth,* Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie **52(100)** (2009), no.3, 377-382.

[13] D. Popescu, M.I. Qureshi, *Computing the Stanley depth,* J. Algebra, **323** (2010) 2943-2959.

[14] A. Rauf, *Depth and Stanley depth of multigraded modules,* Comm. Algebra **38**(2) (2010) 773-784.

[15] G. Rinaldo, *An algorithm to compute the Stanley depth of monomials ideals.* Le Mathematiche Vol **LXIII** (2008), Fasc. II, 243-256.

[16] R. Stanley, *Linear Diophantine equations and local cohomology*, Invent. Math. **68**(1982) 175-193.

[17] R. H. Villareal, *Monomial Algebras,* New York: Marcel Dekker Inc, (2001).